

OFT – 01 (Horticulture)
(Kharif/ Perennial crop 2023-24)

- **Thematic area:** Mulching
- **Problem definition/Name of OFT:** Moisture stress leads yield losses in Mango

| 1. | Title of On farm Trial (OFT) | Assessment of Biomass Mulching in Mango | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|------|--|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------|--|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|--------|--------|------|--|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|------|---|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|------|------|--|--|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|-----------|--|--|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Problem diagnosed | More no. fruit dropping | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement | FP - No Mulching/ Liter fall of trees. TO ₁ – Taphrosia 1 kg dry biomass/ m ² Canopy (Plant spread) TO ₂ – Grass/ Paddy straw/ Any local available mulching 15 cm thick (Plant spread) + Greece band 30 cm from GL. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Source of Technology (ICAR/ AICRP/SAU/other, please specify) | ICAR-FSRCHPR, Palndu, Ranchi | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Production system and thematic area | Mango Production System and Mulching | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Performance of the Technology with performance indicators | <table><tr><th rowspan="3">Technology Option</th><th rowspan="3">No. Of replication</th><th colspan="4">Data related problem addressed</th><th rowspan="3">Yield (q/ha)</th><th rowspan="3">C.C. (Rs.ha)</th><th rowspan="3">Gross income</th><th rowspan="3">Net Return (Rs/ha)</th><th rowspan="3">B:C</th></tr><tr><th rowspan="2">Soil Moisture (%)</th><th colspan="3">Weed number</th></tr><tr><th>1st month Nov 23</th><th>2nd Month Dec 23</th><th>3rd month Jan 24</th></tr><tr><td>FP - No Mulching/ Liter fall of trees.</td><td rowspan="3">10</td><td>9.95</td><td>27.30</td><td>32.10</td><td>40.30</td><td>82.0</td><td>60500</td><td>205000</td><td>144500</td><td>3.38</td></tr><tr><td>TO₁ – Taphrosia 1 kg dry biomass/ m² Canopy (Plant spread)</td><td>11.44</td><td>6.50</td><td>8.40</td><td>11.20</td><td>120.0</td><td>65500</td><td>300000</td><td>234500</td><td>4.58</td></tr><tr><td>TO₂ – Grass/ Paddy straw/ Any local available mulching 15 cm thick (Plant spread) + Greece band 30 cm from GL</td><td>10.94</td><td>8.10</td><td>10.90</td><td>15.40</td><td>102.0</td><td>62500</td><td>255000</td><td>192500</td><td>4.08</td></tr><tr><td>SEM+</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.66</td><td>0.77</td><td>1.15</td><td>1.34</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>CDCP=0.05</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.97</td><td>2.31</td><td>3.47</td><td>4.02</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> | | | | | | | | | | Technology Option | No. Of replication | Data related problem addressed | | | | Yield (q/ha) | C.C. (Rs.ha) | Gross income | Net Return (Rs/ha) | B:C | Soil Moisture (%) | Weed number | | | 1 st month Nov 23 | 2 nd Month Dec 23 | 3 rd month Jan 24 | FP - No Mulching/ Liter fall of trees. | 10 | 9.95 | 27.30 | 32.10 | 40.30 | 82.0 | 60500 | 205000 | 144500 | 3.38 | TO ₁ – Taphrosia 1 kg dry biomass/ m ² Canopy (Plant spread) | 11.44 | 6.50 | 8.40 | 11.20 | 120.0 | 65500 | 300000 | 234500 | 4.58 | TO ₂ – Grass/ Paddy straw/ Any local available mulching 15 cm thick (Plant spread) + Greece band 30 cm from GL | 10.94 | 8.10 | 10.90 | 15.40 | 102.0 | 62500 | 255000 | 192500 | 4.08 | SEM+ | | | 0.66 | 0.77 | 1.15 | 1.34 | | | | | CDCP=0.05 | | | 1.97 | 2.31 | 3.47 | 4.02 | | | | |
| Technology Option | No. Of replication | Data related problem addressed | | | | Yield (q/ha) | C.C. (Rs.ha) | Gross income | Net Return (Rs/ha) | B:C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Soil Moisture (%) | Weed number | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 st month Nov 23 | 2 nd Month Dec 23 | 3 rd month Jan 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FP - No Mulching/ Liter fall of trees. | 10 | 9.95 | 27.30 | 32.10 | 40.30 | 82.0 | 60500 | 205000 | 144500 | 3.38 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TO ₁ – Taphrosia 1 kg dry biomass/ m ² Canopy (Plant spread) | | 11.44 | 6.50 | 8.40 | 11.20 | 120.0 | 65500 | 300000 | 234500 | 4.58 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TO ₂ – Grass/ Paddy straw/ Any local available mulching 15 cm thick (Plant spread) + Greece band 30 cm from GL | | 10.94 | 8.10 | 10.90 | 15.40 | 102.0 | 62500 | 255000 | 192500 | 4.08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SEM+ | | | 0.66 | 0.77 | 1.15 | 1.34 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CDCP=0.05 | | | 1.97 | 2.31 | 3.47 | 4.02 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | Final recommendation for micro level situation | The on Farm Trail was conducted on 10 Farmers Field of Village Shivrajpur of Ghaghra Block During Kharif/ Perennial Crop (2023-24) to find Out mulching Maximizing The Fruit Yield and income. The data Collected during the trail Clearly indicated that minimum weed number (11.20) at 3 rd month January 2024. Maximum Soil Moisture (11.44%), Maximum Fruit Yield (120 q / hac), net income (Rs. 234500) and B:C ratio (4.58) was found under Technology option 1 (Taphrosia 1kg dry biomass/Square meter canopy (Plant spread). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | |
|----|--|---|
| | | The Present yield enhancement of 46.34 and 17.64 was found over FP and Technology option 2. Hence T01 (Taphrosia 1kg dry biomass/Square meter canopy (Plant spread) is being recommended. |
| 8. | Constraints identified and feedback for research | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of knowledge about Taphrosia mulching . More number of awareness is required about Taphrosia mulching |
| 9. | Process of farmers participation and their reaction | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participatory and interactive. Awareness about Taphrosia through field training By Seeing the result of Taphrosia farmer of adjoining village was highly impressive |

B. Results with Table and good quality photographs in jpg.

| Thematic area | Technology options with detailed treatments | Area (ha in crop & Fodder)/ Nos (in livestock) | | Yield (q/ha) | Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha) | Gross return (Rs/ha) | Net return (Rs./ha) | BC ratio |
|---------------|---|--|--------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | | Proposed | Actual | | | | | |
| Mulching | FP - No Mulching/ Liter fall of trees. | 0.025 | 0.025 | 82.0 | 60500 | 205000 | 144500 | 3.38 |
| | TO ₁ – Taphrosia 1 kg dry biomass/ m ² Canopy (Plant spread) | 0.025 | 0.025 | 120.0 | 65500 | 300000 | 234500 | 4.58 |
| | TO ₂ – Grass/ Paddy straw/ Any local available mulching 15 cm thick (Plant spread) + Greece band 30 cm from GL | 0.025 | 0.025 | 102.0 | 62500 | 255000 | 192500 | 4.08 |

NPK Status

| Sampling Time | Avg N (kg/ha) | Avg P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha) | Avg K ₂ O (Kg/ha) |
|-------------------|---------------|---|------------------------------|
| NPK status (Pre) | 201.25 | 10.32 | 210.19 |
| NPK status (Post) | | | |
| FP | 205.34 | 16.46 | 215.65 |

